

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,604		02/27/2004	Ralph M. Ellison	2302Н	9050	
27573	7590	03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
CEPHALO	ON, INC.		PAK, JOHN D			
41 MOORE PO BOX 40				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FRAZER,			1616			

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/789,60	)4	ELLISON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		JOHN PA	K	1616	ı				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA resions of time may be available under the priosions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- nication. Itory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this co  (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election rec	juirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •		Stage				
	application from the Internation	•			· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.040	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:								

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 13, drawn to method of treating cancer in a human, wherein the cancer is melanoma, classified in class 424, subclasses 620-629, class 514, subclass 504.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to method of treating cancer in a human, wherein the cancer is breast cancer, classified in class 424, subclasses 620-629, class 514, subclass 504.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to method of treating cancer in a human, wherein the cancer is colon cancer, ovarian cancer, renal cancer, bladder cancer or prostate cancer, classified in class 424, subclasses 620-629, class 514, subclass 504.
- IV. Claim 13, drawn to method of treating cancer in a human, wherein the cancer is lung cancer, classified in class 424, subclasses 620-629, class 514, subclass 504.
- V. Claims 13-15, drawn to method of treating cancer in a human, wherein the cancer is a cancer of the central nervous system, classified in class 424, subclasses 620-629, class 514, subclass 504.

Claims 1-12 and 16-18 link inventions I to V. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of such linking claims.

Art Unit: 1616

Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The five different inventions are distinct from each other because of the different cancers that are being treated. In the absence of a nexus type teaching, one having ordinary skill in the art would typically not expect efficacy for one type of cancer to be indicative of efficacy for a different type of cancer.

The search for each of the five different and distinct inventions would be of serious burden. Even though the classification of the inventions are similar due to the fact that U.S. Patent Classification uses the placement rule of active agent as the controlling classification criteria, the state of the art in cancer treatment clearly recognizes the separate status of different cancers as separate subjects for inventive effort. For example, cancer drugs are commonly effective for one type of cancer but not

Art Unit: 1616

others. Additionally, applicant's separate filings of applications such as 10/649,944 (lymphoma treatment), 10/640,399 (multiple myeloma treatment), 10/649,776 (melanoma treatment) is further evidence of separate recognition in the art of the divergence of the inventive subject matter. Therefore, given the nature of the invention, a significant percentage of relevant prior art would likely not be found in the patent literature. Rather, it is expected that the non-patent literature collection would contain a substantial percentage of the prior art related to the various anti-cancer uses of arsenic. As a result, the five different and distinct inventions, even though they are classified similarly due to the placement methodology of the U.S. classification system, would actually require searching in places (e.g. commercial databases) where no pertinent art to the other inventions may be found. Further, the five different and distinct inventions would have to be evaluated vis-a-vis the prior art four different ways to separately arrive at five different patentability determinations. Given the extensive breadth of prior art related to therapeutic uses of arsenic in humans, the burden posed by such one of the invention groups already amounts to serious burden and any additional burden by having to search and examine more than one invention would place an undue burden on the Examiner.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

**Art Unit: 1616** 

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JOHN PAK whose telephone number is (571)272-0620. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's SPE, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571)272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 1616

Page 6

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NAP NHOL
REMINERS
REMINERS
REMINERS
REMINERS
REMINERS
REMINERS